|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| D:\Rinat\Rinat\доки\журнал\статьи\logo.jpg | New Catalysts for the electRochemical reduction of proton | | |
| Cite this: *INEOS OPEN*,  **2025**, *8 (1–3)*, 96–97  DOI: 10.32931/io2534a  *Received 2 November 2024,*  *Accepted 9 February 2025*  http://ineosopen.org | | K. I. Utegenov,\**a* D. A. Valyaev,*b* T. T. Amatov,*c* A. Sournia-Saquet,*b* O. V. Semeikin,*a* and N. A. Ustynyuk*a* | |
| a Nesmeyanov Institute of Organoelement Compounds, Russian Academy of Sciences, ul. Vavilova 28, str. 1, Moscow, 119334 Russia  b LCC-CNRS, Université de Toulouse, CNRS, 205 route de Narbonne 31077 Toulouse cedex 4, France  c New York University Abu Dhabi, PO Box 129188, Saadiyat Island, Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates | |
| Abstract  Iron acetylide Ср(СО)(Ph3P)Fe–C≡CPh (**Fe1**), rhenium vinylidene Cp\*(CO)2Re=С=С(H)Ph (**Re1**), and manganese isonitrile complexes Cp(CO)2Mn=С=NR (**Mn3**, R = Me; **Mn4** R = *t*Bu) were tested for activity in the electrochemical reduction of proton (ERP). Complexes **Fe1** and **Re1** were found to be catalytically active in the ERP. Their protonated forms [Ср(СО)(Ph3P)Fe=C=C(H)Ph]BF4 (**Fe1H+**) and [Cp\*(CO)2Re≡С‒СH2Ph]BF4 (**Re1H+**) were reduced to corresponding 19e radicals (**Fe1H▪**) and (**Re1H▪**) followed by homolysis of Cβ–H bonds to regenerate starting complexes **Fe1** and **Re1**. | | |  |
| **Key words:** electrochemical reduction, cyclic voltammetry, vinylidene complexes, alkynyl complexes, carbyne complexes. | | | |

**Introduction**

Earlier we have shown [1] that manganese vinylidene Сp(CO)(Ph3P)Mn=C=C(H)Ph (**Mn1**) and allenylidene Сp(CO)2Mn=C=C=CPh2 (**Mn2**) complexes catalyze the electrochemical reduction of proton in dichloromethane in the presence of HBF4·OEt2. The proposed scheme included the protonation of the complexes and the reduction of their protonated forms to a 19e state undergoing ready homolysis of the Cβ–H bond. These results suggest that other transition metal η1-σ,π-complexes with protonated forms containing a C–H or N–H bond conjugated with a multiple metal–carbon bond can also exhibit catalytic activity in the ERP.

In this work, the electrochemical behavior of iron acetylide Cp(CO)(Ph3P)Fe–C≡CPh (**Fe1**), rhenium vinylidene Cp\*(CO)2Re=С=С(H)Ph (**Re1**), and manganese isonitrile complexes Cp(CO)2Mn=C=NR (**Mn3**, R = Me; **Mn4** R = *t*Bu) in the presence of HBF4 was studied by cyclic voltammetry.

Results and discussion

The cyclic voltammogram (CV) of complex **Re1** in CH2Cl2 displays one irreversible oxidation peak **A** at +0.24 V (see Fig. S1 in the Electronic supplementary information (ESI)). The CV of its protonated form [Cp\*(CO)2Re≡C–CH2Ph]BF4 (**Re1H+**) displays a single-electron reduction peak **B** at –1.51 V (Fig. S1 in the ESI), which is irreversible even at the scan rate of 100 V·s–1, and a hardly visible oxidation peak **A** at +0.24 V, identical to that of vinylidene complex **Re1**. The addition of HBF4 (Fig. 1) afforded a significant increase in the intensity of the cathode peak **B** at –1.51 V (changes in the region of almost unobservable peak **A** occurs at a noise level). A significant increase in the cathode peak **B** current can be explained by the catalytic reduction of proton (catalytic current) similarly to the earlier observations for **Mn1** and **Mn2** [1].

We believe that the catalytic reduction of proton occurs according to Scheme 1.

The cyclic voltammogram of **Fe1** displays two irreversible oxidation peaks at +0.19 V (**C**) and +1.06 V (**D**) (Fig. S2 in the ESI). Upon reverse scan, there appeared a reduction peak at




Figure 1. CV for complex Re1H+ in the presence of different amounts of HBF4 (GC electrode, CH2Cl2, 0.1 M Bu4NPF6, 1·10–3 M, 200 mV·s–1, potentials are given relative to Fc/Fc+).



Scheme 1. Catalytic cycle for the reduction of proton by complex Re1.

–1.07 V (**E**). The CV of protonated form **Fe1H+** displayed a single-electron reduction peak **F** at –1.32 V (Fig. 2) totally irreversible even at the scan rate of 100 V·s–1. With an increase in the acid amount, the reduction peaks of **Fe1H+** appear to be catalytic and significantly increase and no oxidation peak of starting iron acetylide **Fe1** is observed due to the fast protonation of **Fe1** to **Fe1H+**.




Figure 2. CV for complex Fe1 in the presence of different amounts of HBF4 (GC electrode, CH2Cl2, 0.1 M Bu4NPF6, 1·10–3 M, 200 mV·s–1, potentials are given relative to Fc/Fc+).

These observations fit into the catalytic cycle presented in Scheme 2.



Scheme 2. Catalytic cycle for the reduction of proton by complex Fe1.

We also studied the electrochemical behavior of manganese isonitrile complexes **Mn3**, **Mn4**. However, both these compounds were found to be inactive in the ERP, since the equilibrium in their protonation reactions is shifted towards the starting compounds and, therefore, no reduction peaks of their protonated forms are observed in the CVs.

The CV data obtained in this work and earlier allow us to compare complexes **Mn1, Mn2** and **Re1**, **Fe1** by the following parameters: 1) the reduction potential of the catalyst protonated form; 2) the irreversibility of reduction of the catalyst protonated form; and 3) the magnitude of catalytic current.

The solvated proton in dichloromethane is reduced at about –2.24 V relative to Fc/Fc+. The catalyst protonated form, rather than proton, is reduced during the ERP. The less negative is the reduction potential of the protonated form, the more favorable is the ERP process in terms of energy. In this regard, the above-mentioned complexes range as follows: **Mn2** (–0.93 V) > **Fe1** (–1.32 V) > **Re1** (–1.51 V) > **Mn1** (–1.78 V).

Regarding the irreversibility of reduction of protonated forms, the complexes arrange in the following order: **Mn1** ≈ **Fe1** > **Re1** >> **Mn2**.

The data on the relative change in catalytic currents are given in Table 1.

In this respect, the discussed complexes arrange as follows: **Re1** > **Mn1** > **Fe1** > **Mn2**. The catalytic currents are higher for the vinylidene complexes, since their protonated (carbyne) forms have two Cβ–H bonds instead of one as in the case of pro-

Table 1. Relative change in the catalytic current *vs*. the amount of added acid

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| HBF4, equiv. | Catalytic current change as a function of the added acid amount, in % relative to that for the protonated form | | | |
| **Mn2** | **Fe1** | **Mn1** | **Re1** |
| 1 | 145 | – | 182 | 440 |
| 2 | – | 210 | – | – |
| 3 | 162 | – | 273 | 790 |
| 5 | 182 | 265 | 315 | 1085 |
| 10 | – | 370 | 465 | 1345 |

tonated allenylidene **Mn2** and acetylide **Fe1**.

Considering all these parameters together, rhenium vinylidene complex Cp\*(CO)2Re=C=CHPh (**Re1**) seems to be the best compound among the above-mentioned complexes in terms of catalytic activity. This is not surprising, because the HOMO–LUMO gap increases on going downward the group.

**Conclusions**

Thus, a concept of catalytic reduction of proton to hydrogen through the activation of the C–H (rather than M–H [2]) bond is demonstrated by the example of rhenium complex **Re1** and iron acetylide **Fe1**. The results obtained in this work and earlier for complexes **Mn1**, **Mn2** indicate that, varying the ligand environment and the nature of a metal in the above-mentioned η1-σ,π-complexes, one can change the catalyst efficiency and synthesize more active catalysts in a tailor-made manner.

Acknowledgements

This work was performed with financial support from the Ministry of Science and Higher Education of the Russian Federation (agreement no. 075-00276-25-00) using the equipment of the Center for Collective Use of INEOS RAS.

Corresponding author

\* E-mail: ukamil@ineos.ac.ru (K. I. Utegenov).

Electronic supplementary information

Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available online: the CV data, synthetic procedures, and a brief state-of-the-art review. For ESI, see DOI: 10.32931/io2534a.

References

1. D. A. Valyaev, M. G. Peterleitner, O. V. Semeikin, K. I. Utegenov, N. A. Ustynyuk, A. Sournia-Saquet, N. Lugan, G. Lavigne, *J. Organomet. Chem*., **2007**, *692*, 3207–3211. DOI: 10.1016/j.jorganchem.2007.01.055

2. I. Bhugun, D. Lexa, J.-M. Savéant, *J. Am. Chem. Soc*., **1996**, *118*, 3982–3983. DOI: 10.1021/ja954326x

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License. | [D:\Rinat\Rinat\доки\журнал\cc-by-nc.png](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) |